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EUROPEAN MIGRATION NETWORK

The European Migration Network (EMN) was launched in 2003 by the European Commission (EC) by order of the European Council in order to satisfy the need of a regular exchange of reliable information in the field of migration and asylum at the European level. Since 2008, Council Decision 2008/381/EC has constituted the legal basis of the EMN and National Contact Points (NCPs) have been established in the EU Member States (with the exception of Denmark, which has observer status) plus Norway.

The EMN's role is to meet the information needs of European Union (EU) institutions and of Member States’ authorities and institutions by providing up-to-date, objective, reliable and comparable information on migration and asylum, with a view to supporting policymaking in the EU in these areas. The EMN also has a role in providing such information to the wider public.

The NCP for Austria is located in the Research and Migration Law Department of the Country Office Austria of the International Organization for Migration (IOM) in Vienna, which was established in 1952 when Austria became one of the first members of the organization. The main responsibility of the IOM Country Office is to analyse national migration issues and emerging trends and to develop and implement respective national projects and programmes.

The main task of the NCPs is to implement the annual work programme of the EMN including the drafting of the annual policy report and topic-specific focused and main studies, answering Ad-Hoc Queries launched by other NCPs, carrying out visibility activities and networking in several forums. Furthermore, the NCPs in each country set up national networks consisting of organizations, institutions and individuals working in the field of migration and asylum.

In general, the NCPs do not conduct primary research but collect and analyse existing data. Exceptions might occur when existing data and information is not sufficient. EMN studies are elaborated in accordance with uniform specifications valid for all EU Member States plus Norway in order to achieve comparable EU-wide results. Since the comparability of the results is frequently challenging, the EMN has produced a Glossary, which assures the application of similar definitions and terminology in all national reports.

Upon completion of national reports, the EC with the support of a service provider drafts a synthesis report, which summarizes the most significant results of the individual national reports. In addition, topic-based policy briefs, so called EMN Informs, are produced in order to present and compare selected topics in a concise manner. All national studies, synthesis reports, informs and the Glossary are available on the website of the EC DG Migration and Home Affairs.
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EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

The Annual Policy Report 2014 has been produced within the framework of the annual reporting of the National Contact Points (NCPs) in the European Migration Network (EMN). The report outlines the most significant political and legislative developments in the field of asylum and migration in Austria in 2014 and provides related information on policy and public debates.

In 2014, the establishment of the Federal Office for Immigration and Asylum and the Federal Administrative Court were important topics as they are part of a major institutional and administrative reform. As a body subordinate to the Federal Ministry of the Interior, the Federal Office for Immigration and Asylum is responsible for asylum, the issuance of “residence titles for exceptional circumstances” and some aliens police matters. The new Federal Administrative Court decides upon appeals against decisions of the Federal Office for Immigration and Asylum.

One of the policy developments in 2014 worth highlighting is the new initiative “Integration at the regional level”. The initiative was launched by the Federal Minister for Europe, Integration and Foreign Affairs together with the Austrian Integration Fund in order to support integration at the local level and strengthen the integration competence of local authorities. Through several measures – such as manuals and integration centres – local integration authority was strengthened and improved information provided to migrants.

Among the various legislative developments in 2014, one area was of particular interest to the public and in politics. This area comprised two legislative amendments – one to the Border Control Act and the other to the Citizenship Law – that aimed at tackling the issue of so-called foreign fighters. According to the Federal Minister of the Interior, the exit and re-entry of foreign fighters is a challenge. The amendments prevent, for example, minors who do not have the consent of their legal guardian (and who intend to take part in combat operations abroad) from exiting the country. At the same time, citizenship is withdrawn from those who voluntarily participate in an organized armed group fighting abroad, provided that they do not become stateless. This topic started attracting attention when the terrorist organization Islamic State of Iraq and Syria (ISIS) expanded its activities and persons started to leave Austria to join them as foreign fighters, which was also covered in the media.

The lack of available reception facilities for asylum-seekers in the provinces and the overcrowding of the main initial reception centre, the federal reception facility East in Traiskirchen (Lower Austria), was the issue most intensively covered in the Austrian media. As a consequence of the overcrowding, concerns were raised about degrading conditions in the federal reception facility. It followed a media debate about emergency plans and proposed durable solutions such as the completion of the admission procedure in the provinces through the regional offices of the Federal Office for Immigration and Asylum directly, rather than in the federal reception facilities.
1. INTRODUCTION

1.1 Purpose

The Annual Policy Report 2014 has been produced within the framework of the European Migration Network (EMN), which was established by Council Decision 2008/381/EC in May 2008. The EMN provides up-to-date, objective, reliable and comparable information on migration and asylum matters with the aim of supporting policy making on the European Union (EU) and national level, as well disseminating this information among the general public.

This is the eleventh Annual Policy Report compiled by the National Contact Point (NCP) Austria in the EMN, covering the period from 1 January to 31 December 2014. The purpose of the Annual Policy Report is to provide an overview of the most significant political and legislative developments on asylum and migration in Austria, as well as review of the political and public debates in the area of asylum and migration. A specific emphasis has been placed on interrelations with developments at EU level.

The national reports of the EMN NCPs will form the basis for topic-based EMN Informs. The EMN Informs will summarize and compare the main developments of selected topics, thereby providing a topic-based overview of policies and legislation in the Member States. Furthermore, based on the Annual Policy Report 2014, EMN Country Factsheets will be produced, presenting up-to-date information on policy developments in migration and asylum, including relevant statistics.

1.2 Methodology and Definitions

The Annual Policy Report 2014 was produced by the Austrian NCP in the EMN following common study specifications developed by the EMN in order to facilitate comparability between the findings from all Member States. At the same time, the format is flexible to a certain degree, so as to allow the production of an output that targets the respective national audience.

In order to allow concise reporting, and to facilitate comparability, the Annual Policy Report 2014 only reflects on significant developments and debates, which have been defined on the basis of the criteria provided for in the study specifications. Hence, for the present report, proposals for amended or new legislation or policy changes were considered to be significant developments, while a significant debate was defined as an event that had been discussed in the national parliament, by relevant ministries, political parties or civil society stakeholders and, consequently, had been widely reported in the media.

Based on the methodology of conducting previous Annual Policy Reports, the referenced sources of information include: press releases and magazines from relevant ministries, professional journals, legislative proposals, jurisdiction, parliamentary debates, as well as press and online articles from the main daily and weekly newspapers and news websites in Austria. With regards to the latter, press

1 More information on the EMN is available at www.emn.at/en (accessed on 30 January 2015).
4 The EMN Informs and the Country Factsheet will be available at www.emn.at/en in the Annual Policy Reports section.
articles dealing with migration and asylum issues were collected throughout the year, within the framework of continuous media monitoring, in order to gain an overview of the most important public debates. Also, the Federal Ministry of the Interior, the Federal Ministry for Europe, Integration and Foreign Affairs, the Federal Ministry of Labour, Social Affairs and Consumer Protection, and the Federal Office for Immigration and Asylum provided input.

This report was prepared by Saskia Koppenberg (Research Associate, IOM Country Office for Austria) under the supervision of Julia Rutz (Head of Research and Migration Law, IOM Country Office for Austria). Thanks go to Corinna Geißler (Research Assistant, IOM Country Office for Austria) for her support in conducting research for the report.
2. OVERVIEW OF ASYLUM AND MIGRATION POLICY DEVELOPMENTS

2.1 Institutional Developments

2.1.1 Federal Office for Immigration and Asylum

In the context of the restructuring of the asylum and aliens authorities in Austria, the Federal Office for Immigration and Asylum was established on 1 January 2014. The Federal Office is directly subordinate to the Federal Ministry of the Interior.

The Act Establishing the Federal Office for Immigration and Asylum regulates the setup of the Federal Office for Immigration and Asylum. The Federal Office’s headquarter is located in Vienna; further, there are nine regional offices, one in each province and one branch office in St. Pölten, Lower Austria (Art. 2 para 2). Other organizational entities include – according to Art. 1 Regulation Implementing the Act Establishing the Federal Office for Immigration and Asylum – the Initial Reception Centres.

The Federal Office’s competencies are stipulated in Art. 3 Act Establishing the Federal Office for Immigration and Asylum and Art. 3 Federal Office for Immigration and Asylum Procedures Act:

Firstly, the Federal Office for Immigration and Asylum (hereafter: Federal Office) is responsible for first instance asylum procedures, thereby replacing the former Federal Asylum Office. Secondly, as a first instance authority, the Federal Office it is also competent for the implementation of the Federal Basic Welfare Support Act.

Furthermore, the Federal Office is in charge of the procedures relating to and the issuance of “residence titles for exceptional circumstances,” according to chapter seven Asylum Act. Prior to 2014, similar residence titles were stipulated in the Settlement and Residence Act and issued by the settlement and residence authorities.

In addition, some competencies in relation to the Aliens Police Act were transferred to the Federal Office. This includes competencies with regard to the seventh chapter (removal, tolerated stay and implementation of return decisions for citizens of the European Economic Area), the eighth chapter (procedures terminating residence including detention pending removal and alternatives to detention) and the eleventh chapter (issuance of documents for foreigners) of the Aliens Police Act. Other aliens police affairs such as, for example, the prevention of irregular entry and the monitoring of foreign national’s residence on Austrian territory, are the responsibility of the police administrations of the federal provinces.

---

6 FLG I No. 87/2012, in the version of FLG I No. 68/2013.
7 FLG II No. 453/2013.
9 FLG I No. 87/2012, in the version of FLG I No. 40/2014.
11 FLG I No. 100/2005, in the version of FLG I No. 144/2013.
12 FLG I No. 100/2005, in the version of FLG I No. 40/2014.
13 FLG I No. 100/2005, in the version of FLG I No. 144/2013.
The reason for the establishment of the Federal Office for Immigration and Asylum was to enable faster, more efficient and less cost-intensive procedures. Furthermore, as one officer is now responsible for all the procedures concerning one case, time efficiency shall also be improved.\footnote{14}

The NGO Asylkoordination Österreich, however, reported start-up difficulties at the beginning of the year. Data processing failures were a hindrance, asylum procedures were delayed, requests for residence title extensions of persons with subsidiary protection status were not processed, case workers were unavailable, and so on (Knapp, 2014). This critique was also picked up by the Austrian media: while technical problems were admitted by the Federal Ministry of the Interior, the Minister, however, rejected the accusation that the Federal Office for Immigration and Asylum was handling cases too slowly.\footnote{15}

Unfortunately, the data necessary to measure the performance of the Federal Office for Immigration and Asylum is very scarce. By the end of the first quarter 2015, the annual asylum, residence and settlement and aliens police statistics had not yet been published. Hence, only partial information was available but no detailed statistics, for example, on the positive and negative asylum decisions or the number and kind of residence titled issued on humanitarian grounds (Knapp, 2015). According to the Federal Ministry of the Interior, the delay in publishing statistics was caused by technical difficulties during the migration of the former data system, the Asylum Information System and Alien Information System, to a new register-based database called the Integrated Alien Application as of 1 January 2014.\footnote{16}

\subsubsection*{2.1.2 Administrative Reform}

Further to the Federal Office for Immigration and Asylum, as of 1 January 2014 the Federal Administrative Court was established, which is responsible for appeals against decisions of the Federal Office for Immigration and Asylum (therewith replacing the former Asylum Court). Against decisions of the Federal Administrative Court (extraordinary) appeals to the Administrative High Court and to the Constitutional Court are possible.\footnote{17}

This change is part of the broader restructuring stipulated by the Amendments to the Administrative Jurisdiction,\footnote{18} which entered into force on 1 January 2014. In the framework of the restructuring, a two-tier system of nine administrative courts, one in each federal province and one on federal level, and one finance court at the federal level was established. They replace the former approximate 120 administrative bodies and senates that were, until now, in charge (Eberhard, 2013: 163).

\begin{itemize}
\item \footnote{17} Aliens Authorities Restructuring Act, FLG I No. 87/2012; Act Amending the Aliens Authorities Restructuring Act, FLG I No. 68/2013.
\item \footnote{18} FLG I No. 51/2012.
\end{itemize}
2.2 Legislative developments

As a consequence of the restructuring of the asylum and aliens authorities the following acts apply in the area of asylum and migration (Szymanski, 2013):

- Act Establishing the Federal Office for Immigration and Asylum: this new act regulates the setup of the Federal Office for Immigration and Asylum and stipulates its competencies;
- Federal Office for Immigration and Asylum Procedures Act: this new act regulates procedures before the Federal Office for Immigration and Asylum and appeal procedures before the Federal Administrative Court;
- Asylum Act: this act is from 2005 and was amended. It still contains substantive law regarding asylum and newly regulates the issuance of “residence titles for exceptional circumstances”;
- Federal Government Basic Welfare Support Act: this act is from 2005 continues to regulate basic welfare support provided to asylum-seekers by the federal government;
- Aliens Police Act: this act is from 2005 and remains – in large parts – unchanged. Only the area of Union rules on visa was removed, as this is now regulated by the Visa Codex;
- Settlement and Residence Act: this act is from 2005. The regulations regarding “residence titles for exceptional circumstances” were removed and are now stipulated in the Asylum Act.

2.3 Other relevant developments

In April 2014, the Federal Minister of the Interior presented the new “Migration Council for Austria”. The Council consists of renowned experts from scientific and public life that are tasked with building the foundation of a comprehensive migration strategy. The Council is split into ten working areas: (i) economy, finance, environment and infrastructure; (ii) labour, pensions and social affairs; (iii) education and research; (iv) health and care; (v) demography and societal change; (vi) public security and government institutions; (vii) political system and media; (viii) diversity; (ix) impact of migration in the regions of origin; and (x) organization of the migration system in Austria. The Migration Council is independent and not bound by instructions.19

The development of a nationwide migration strategy is considered necessary according to the current work programme of the Austrian federal government, in order to meet the challenges in the field of migration (Federal Ministry of the Interior, 2014a).

3. LEGAL MIGRATION AND MOBILITY

3.1 Economic Migration

3.1.1 Qualified and Highly Qualified Migration

In mid-2011 a new criteria-led point-based immigration system was established in Austria, which introduced the combined residence and work permits “Red-White-Red Card” and “Red-White-Red Card Plus”. These permits are intended for the following groups of qualified and highly qualified third-country nationals: very highly qualified workers, skilled workers in shortage occupations, other key workers, graduates of Austrian universities and self-employed key workers. This major reform of the Austrian legal framework for labour migration was intended to facilitate the admission of skilled and highly skilled labour migrants (OECD, 2014:25).

As a consequence of the Act Amending the Aliens Authorities Restructuring Act entering into force on 1 January 2014 there has been a change in the application procedure for the “Red-White-Red Card”. Very highly qualified workers can now also submit an application for a “Red-White-Red Card” at Austrian representations (embassies or consulates) abroad, in case of a pre-existing employment offer from a domestic employer.

With regards to the immigration of so-called skilled workers in shortage occupations through the “Red-White-Red Card”, each year a new list is published that defines which occupations will fall under the title “shortage occupations”. Hence, in October 2013, the Federal Minister of Labour, Social Affairs and Consumer Protection issued – in agreement with the Federal Minister of Science, Research and Economy – the new Regulation for Skilled Workers for 2014. The regulation lists sixteen shortage occupations in which third-country nationals can obtain a “Red-White-Red Card” as skilled workers in 2014. The listed shortage occupations are as follows: agricultural machine manufacturer, concrete worker, cutter, electrician, engineer in data processing, engineer in heavy current engineering, engineer in mechanical engineering, graduate engineer in heavy current engineering, graduate engineer in mechanical engineering, graduate nurse, lathe operator, plumber, plumber (other), roofer, technician in heavy current engineering, and welding operator. In November 2014 the list of shortage occupations for 2015 was released. The list includes mostly the same occupations but includes only 11 occupations in total.

Since the reform of the immigration system in mid-2011 and the introduction of the “Red-White-Red Card”, the success of the reform in terms of the increased immigration of (highly) qualified migrants has been much discussed. Several evaluations were published (see, for example, Bittmann, 2013:40ff; Koppenberg, 2014a:16–17).

Most recently, in December 2014, the Organization for Economic Co-operation and Development (OECD) published a review of Austria’s labour migration policy. The study comes to the conclusion that “the existing framework for labour migration in Austria has been improved by the recent reform, but needs further adjustments to serve its purpose as intended” (OECD, 2014:15); e.g. despite the reform, permanent labour migration entries remained low, administrative procedures were complex, rejection rates were high, migrants and employers appeared ill-informed about the

---

20 For further information see Bittmann, 2013.
22 FLG II No. 328/2013.
23 FLG II No. 278/2014.
requirements and the admission criteria did not always seem well balanced and targeted. Furthermore, the study found that the current statistical infrastructure on permit issuances was inadequate for monitoring labour migration (OECD, 2014:25ff). The OECD provides a set of recommendations to overcome these shortcomings and to improve the management of labour migration flows to Austria (see OECD, 2014:16–17). With regard to numbers, the study found that permanent labour migration from non-EU/EFTA countries was still low in spite of a significant increase since the introduction of the “Red-White-Red Card” (OECD, 2014:13–14).

The Austria media also discussed the low number of “Red-White-Red Cards” issued. The Austrian People’s Party, NEOS and migration expert Heinz Fassmann called for an easing of the admission requirements. Special attempts should be made to use the potential of foreign students and to keep more graduates in Austria after completing their studies. Thus, in November 2014, the Federal Minister of Science, Research and Economy (ÖVP), the Federal Minister for Europe, Integration and Foreign Affairs (ÖVP), the president of the Austrian Federal Economic Chamber and the president of Universities Austria presented a set of possible measures. These recommendations include, for instance, the expansion of the “Red-White-Red Card” to Bachelor graduates, the extension of the job-seeking period granted to graduates from six to 12 months, increased legal counselling and support during the procedure for the issuance of a residence permit, as well as the development of a so-called welcoming culture. In the context of a high-level event entitled “Brain drain – brain gain?” organized by the Austrian Federal Economic Chamber, this topic was discussed further.

### 3.1.2 Skills Recognition

In Austria the formal recognition of qualifications acquired abroad is not uniformly regulated. Instead, different institutions are competent and the recognition procedures vary depending on the purpose of skills recognition (to attend further education or to seek employment) and on the nature of the employment pursued (regulated vs. non-regulated occupations). A further distinction is made between qualifications acquired in another country of the European Economic Area or in third countries (Bichl, 2015).

Therefore, the current government programme lays down the necessity for an Austrian act concerning the recognition of qualifications acquired abroad (Republic of Austria, 2013:28). In February 2014, the Federal Minister for Europe, Integration and Foreign Affairs announced the start of negotiations. The mobilization of migrants’ resources shall be enhanced by applying simpler, faster and more transparent recognition procedures. This would be an advantage for both migrants and society as a whole. In 2012 the “Recognition Network” was established, which consists of

---


institutions and organizations concerned with skills recognitions issues. Since February 2014, the network has engaged in a discussion to elaborate on the potential contents and measures of an Austrian act concerning the recognition of qualifications acquired abroad. A first draft of the act has not yet been published.

To date, several measures are in place to facilitate skills recognition. Comprehensive information for applicants is provided in form of a handbook and a website (www.berufsanerkennung.at); contact points providing services to people with qualifications acquired abroad are available in Vienna (Vienna), Linz (Upper Austria), Graz (Styria) and Innsbruck (Tyrol); and the National Academic Recognition Information Centre (ENIC-NARIC Austria) provides support with regard to the recognition of academic degrees.

3.1.3 Social Dumping

Social dumping refers to the practice by which workers from third countries are exploited as “cheap labour” in order to increase profit margins of companies. In order to better identify social dumping, the Federal Ministry of Labour, Social Affairs and Consumer Protection presented a draft of the amendment of the Act on Combatting Wage and Social Dumping at the end of September 2014. The amendment provides – inter alia – for an extension of official wage controls. Besides the basic wage, all other remuneration components required by law, decree or collective agreement are also to be inspected. In addition, the administrative penalties for missing payment records shall be raised to the degree of penalty for underpayment. Furthermore, the statutory limitation in case of wage dumping shall be extended to three years. The amendment was adopted as Act Amending the Labour and Social Law – 2014 by the Austrian parliament in December. In order to provide support to workers who suffer exploitation the contact point for union support for undocumented workers (UNDOK) was opened in June 2014. UNDOK is a cooperation of trade unions, the Chamber of Labour Vienna, the National Board of the Austrian Students' Union and Non-Governmental organizations (NGOs), as well as self-organized migrant organizations and anti-racist activists and is funded by the Federal Ministry of Labour, Social Affairs and Consumer

27 Federal Ministry for Europe, Integration and Foreign Affairs, December 2014.
32 Act amending the labour contract law amendment act, the personnel leasing act and the occupational health and safety act, Draft, available at www.ris.bka.gv.at/Dokmente/Begut/BEGUT_COO_2026_100_2_1016737/BEGUT_COO_2026_100_2_1016737.html (accessed on 8 December 2014).
34 FLG I No. 94/2014.
Protection and the Vienna Employment Promotion Fund. UNDOK offers individual counselling free of charge and support in law enforcement for people without residence and/or an employment permit and supports the self-organization of undocumented workers. Different brochures provide information about the contact point and its services, and about employment and social rights. Six months after UNDOK was opened, the press reported on an unexpectedly large number of clients. By November, a total of 80 persons suffering from labour exploitation had requested assistance.

3.1.4 Other Aspects of Economic Migration

New Combined Residence and Work Permit

On 1 January 2014 a combined residence and work permit was created, notably for holders of the “settlement permit” and the “residence permit – artist”, thereby transposing the Single Permit Directive. Artists now receive a combined residence and work permit that is similar to the “Red-White-Red Card”, while the admission requirements (no point system) remain unchanged. The permit entitles the holder to employment with a specific employer. If special integration criteria are fulfilled, resident third-country nationals are to receive a “Red-White-Red Card plus,” which grants unrestricted labour market access.

Free Movement of Workers from Bulgaria and Romania

On 1 January 2014, Austria – according to the Directive on Free Movement – lifted the restrictions on the free movement of workers from Bulgaria and Romania (which joined the EU in 2007) and enabled them to fully exercise their right to work without a work permit.

The topic of the opening of the Austrian labour market to Bulgarian and Romanian citizens was controversially discussed. The media reported – on the one hand – a fear of high numbers of Bulgarian and Romanian immigrants and negative consequences for the Austrian labour market. On the other hand it was argued that, as the labour market liberalizations for the Member States that joined the EU in 2004 showed, there would be no significant impact. On the contrary, it was argued that the Austrian economy profited from the EU-enlargement. In order to estimate or}

measure the impact of labour immigration from the new Member States on the Austrian labour market and the economy as a whole, several studies were conducted.45

An analysis carried out by the Austrian Institute of Economic Research (WIFO) on behalf of the Federal Ministry of Labour, Social Affairs and Consumer Protection found, for example, that the number of labour migrants from the eight Member States that joined the EU in 2004 increased relatively quickly after the labour market liberalization was implemented in 1 May 2011. At the end of that year, however, the increase had already slowed down. According to the study, the increase in employment that was caused by the liberalization had a positive impact on the Austrian economic situation and supported the reduction of the budget deficit (through increased tax income and social security contributions, for example) (WIFO, 2012).

A study commissioned by the Federal Ministry of Labour, Social Affairs and Consumer Protection and the former Federal Ministry for Economy, Family and Youth, which was conducted by the Vienna Institute for International Economic Studies in cooperation with the Institute for Advanced Studies, comes to the conclusion that the impact on the Austrian economy will be minimal, as much of the migration from Bulgaria and Romania took place between 2007 and 2013. It is estimated that an additional yearly immigration of 5,500 persons will take place in 2014 and 2015, three quarters of the migrants coming from Romania and the remaining quarter coming from Bulgaria (WIIW/HIS, 2013).

Current statistics show that the number of Bulgarian and Romanian citizens working in Austria has steadily increased since they joined the EU in 2007. The number of Romanians increased from an annual average of 7,974 employed Romanian citizens in 2007 to 24,284 in 2013. This is an increase of 205 per cent. The number of Bulgarian citizens employed per year on average rose from 1,638 in 2007 to 4,537 in 2013; a 177 per cent increase. In 2014, when Bulgarian and Romanian citizens did no longer require a work permit, the number increased further. On average, 32,712 Romanian citizens (+35.26% compared to the previous year) and 7,006 Bulgarian citizens (+54.42% compared to the previous year) were employed in Austria in 2014.

**Figure 1: Number of Bulgarian and Romanian citizens employed in Austria, annual average (2007–2014)**

- **Romania**: from 7,974 in 2007 to 32,712 in 2014
- **Bulgaria**: from 1,638 in 2007 to 7,006 in 2014

*Source: Labour Market Service Austria, special evaluation.*

Migration for the Purpose of Begging

In 2014, the topic of so-called “migrant beggars” dominated the media. The term “migrant beggars” refers to the increasingly visible presence of Romanian migrants who then beg on the streets, which is particularly noticeable in Salzburg. Speculations were made about the targeted recruitment of members of the Roma ethnic group from poor regions, who are then brought to Austria in an organized way to beg and whose income is the taken from them.\textsuperscript{46}

At the beginning of the year, the local elections in Salzburg were dominated by the topic of “migrant beggars.”\textsuperscript{47} While the Austrian Freedom Party demanded a general begging ban, the Austrian People’s Party promoted to ban begging in certain locations. The NEOS called for an end of the “beggar hysteria” and argued that tightening the laws would miss the point. The Social Democratic Party of Austria postulated that the city must assume responsibility and secure humane living conditions. They argued that likewise also the situation in the home countries had to be improved.\textsuperscript{48} To turn words into action, Caritas initiated a support group in Salzburg together with a number of other church-based and social organizations. The group advocates that affected migrants should at least have access to accommodation, sanitary installations and a warm meal.\textsuperscript{49} The topic was also discussed by the public and in politics in the provincial capitals Graz (Styria), Innsbruck (Tyrol), Linz (Upper Austria) and Vienna.\textsuperscript{50}

So far there is hardly any data or factual knowledge available about so-called migrant beggars coming to Austria. Some qualitative and social scientific studies, however, have been published in recent years (e.g. Benedik et al., 2013; Schoibl, 2013; Wailzer, 2014). This research shows that migrant beggars are a heterogeneous group coming from central and eastern European countries (especially Romania and Slovakia) that, because of extreme poverty and continuous lack of perspectives in their home countries, temporarily stay in Austria and beg for money. Women, people with disabilities and members of ethnic minorities such as the Roma ethnic group are particularly affected. The latter are often subject to discrimination in their home countries. Begging, by which one usually collects 10 Euros per day, is thereby a survival strategy to create an income. The forced delivery of the money to third persons, organized exploitation by criminal groups, human trafficking or crime associated with begging was not observed in any of the studies.\textsuperscript{51}

In 2014, Caritas produced an information brochure, which comprehensively deals with topics like poverty migration and begging prohibitions and aims at preventing misconceptions (Caritas Österreich, 2014).

\begin{itemize}
  \item \textsuperscript{46} Kronen Zeitung, \emph{Mit Bettlergeld Villen finanziert}, 19 January 2014, p. 22; Kronen Zeitung, \emph{Bettlerunwesen so arg wie nie}, 21 January 2014, p. 14.
  \item \textsuperscript{47} Der Standard, \emph{Bettlerwahlkampf führt zu Solidaritätsaktion}, 20 February 2014, available at http://derstandard.at/1392685793394/Bettlerwahlkampf-fuehrt-zu-Solidaritaetsaktion (accessed on 30 March 2015).
  \item \textsuperscript{49} Der Standard, \emph{Bettlerwahlkampf führt zu Solidaritätsaktion}, 20 February 2014, available at http://derstandard.at/1392685793394/Bettlerwahlkampf-fuehrt-zu-Solidaritaetsaktion (accessed on 30 March 2015).
  \item \textsuperscript{50} Wiener Zeitung, \emph{Planloser Umgang der Städte mit dem Betteln}, 10 June 2014, p. 1.
\end{itemize}
3.2 Integration, Citizenship and Naturalization

3.2.1 Integration Report

The fourth annual Integration Report was presented at the end of July 2014. As in previous years, it consists of three parts.

The first part is called “Integration Report 2014 – Integration Issues in Focus.”\(^{52}\) In this report the Expert Council for Integration\(^^{53}\) presents four measures from the government programme of the legislative period 2013–2018 and provides recommendations for their realization. The selected measures are: (i) integration from the beginning, (ii) recognition of qualifications acquired abroad, (iii) attracting international students, and (iv) language training. Moreover, measures to facilitate the integration of mobile EU citizens are presented for the first time. As the report recognizes, “around two-thirds of immigrants came from EU countries in 2013. Despite this development, the discussion on integration is still focused to a great extent on immigrants from third countries” (Federal Ministry for Europe, Integration and Foreign Affairs, 2014:38).

The second part of the Integration Report is the statistical yearbook “migration & integration,”\(^{54}\) compiled by Statistics Austria and the Commission for Migration and Integration Research of the Austrian Academy of Sciences. The yearbook presents the latest statistics and indicators on migration and integration in Austria. For example, the different dimensions of the integration process are measured in the context of annual monitoring based on 25 indicators. As the indicators for the subjectively perceived integration climate in Austria demonstrate, the share of the population which thinks that integration is working “well“ or “very well” has risen from 31 per cent in 2010 to around 48.6 per cent in 2014. At the same time, those who stated that the integration of migrants was going “badly“ or “very badly” decreased from 69 per cent in 2010 to 51.5 per cent in 2014 (Federal Ministry for Europe, Integration and Foreign Affairs, 2014:3; Statistics Austria et al., 2014:86).

The third part of the Integration Report consists of the database “Integration Projects in Austria,”\(^{55}\) which presents good practices selected by the members of the Advisory Board on Integration.\(^{56}\)

---


\(^{53}\) The independent Expert Council for Integration is affiliated to the Ministry for Europe, Integration and International Affairs and acts as the competence centre and central engine of the integration process in Austria. It consists of experienced and respected public figures under the chairmanship of Heinz Fassmann, University of Vienna (Federal Ministry for Europe, Integration and Foreign Affairs, Expert Council, available at www.bmeia.gv.at/en/integration/expert-council/, accessed on 4 March 2015).


\(^{55}\) Federal Ministry for Europe, Integration and Foreign Affairs, Datenbank „Integrationsprojekte in Österreich“. Available at www.bmeia.gv.at/integration/datenbank-integrationsprojekte/ (accessed on 8 December 2014).

\(^{56}\) The Advisory Board on Integration consists of representatives from the federal government, the provinces, the association of local authorities and cities, the social partners, the Federation of Austrian Industries and NGOs. Under the chairmanship of Franz Wolf, General Manager of the Austrian Integration Fund, the Advisory Board on Integration facilitates networking, cooperation, coordination and knowledge transfer between all stakeholders in order to implement the National Action Plan for Integration (Federal Ministry for Europe, Integration and Foreign Affairs, Advisory Board on Integration, available at www.bmeia.gv.at/en/integration/advisory-board-on-integration/, accessed on 4 March 2015).
3.2.2 Supporting Integration at Different Levels and Stages

Local level

In June 2014 the Federal Minister for Europe, Integration and Foreign Affairs together with the Austrian Integration Fund presented the new initiative “Integration at the Regional Level”. The Austrian Integration Fund offers municipalities that want to improve coexistence in their region, customized counselling and regionally adapted information materials for migrants. The so-called welcome manuals offer information on topics such as the labour market, education system, health, housing, administrative offices, etc., and are tailored to the municipality in question.\(^{57}\) In order to improve the local integration competence a practice-oriented manual was compiled on these topics within the framework of the network “Integration in rural areas.”\(^{58}\)

Furthermore, the Federal Minister for Europe, Integration and Foreign Affairs and the governor of the province of Carinthia agreed to open an integration centre of the Austrian Integration Fund in the province’s capital Klagenfurt in autumn 2014. Apart from German classes and integration projects, the integration centre offers, through its “welcome desk”, comprehensive advice to migrants who have recently arrived in Carinthia. Additionally, the Austrian Integration Fund offers mobile integration counselling throughout the province of Carinthia. The first “welcome desks” were established in 2013 in Vienna (Vienna), Linz (Upper Austria), Graz (Styria), Salzburg (Salzburg) and Innsbruck (Tyrol).\(^{59}\)

Supporting integration at the local level and strengthening the integration competence of local authorities is, according to the Austrian Integration Fund, crucial because integration support is mainly provided in cities even though out of the 2,354 municipalities in Austria, only five have more than 100,000 inhabitants.\(^{60}\)

Countries of origin

Austrian integration policies follow the concept of “integration from the beginning”. Thereby, pre-departure integration at Austrian representations (embassies or consulates) abroad plays a crucial role. In October 2014, an integration commissioner was deployed to the Austrian embassy in Belgrade (Serbia). The officer’s tasks are to provide first-hand advice and orientation to potential migrants who want to come to Austria, thereby displaying Austria’s welcome culture. Therewith, Austria has two integration commissioners in total. The first integration commissioner was deployed to Ankara (Turkey) in 2013 (Koppenberg, 2014a:18–19).\(^{61}\)


3.2.3 Language Skills and Education

The Austrian Integration Fund provides integration measures in the area of language, employment and participation in the society with a maximum financial support of 3,500 EUR per person. Those eligible to apply are foreigners who have asylum status, a subsidiary protection status or a long-term residence title. German language skills build the basis for successful integration; consequently the Austrian Integration Fund has continuously increased their funding for language support. In 2014 more than one million euros were spent in this area (i.e. three times as much as in 2011), which is 95 per cent of the total budget. At the same time, the number of applications for individual support has increased by 33 per cent since 2011, reaching 4,000 applications in 2014.

In October 2014, the Federal Ministry for Europe, Integration and Foreign Affairs presented a draft of an additional agreement to the Agreement Pursuant to Article 15a of the Federal Constitutional Act Concerning Early Childhood Language Training in Institutional Childcare. It is planned that the federal government funds for the coming three-year period from 2015/16 to 2017/18 are to be quadrupled from five to 20 million euros per school year. The provinces provide another 10 million euros per school year. Among other things, the agreement aims to support children between the ages of three and six, whose mother tongue is not German, so that their language skills are as good as possible when entering primary education. According to the Federal Ministry for Europe, Integration and Foreign Affairs, the language support was successful in the past. Out of 27,463 children that needed language training, 22,285 (about 81%) did not need further language support lessons after one year and were ready to enrol in school.

3.2.4 Non-discrimination

In their yearly Racism Report the NGO ZARA reports on racist assaults and incidents in Austria and thus, is an important qualitative data source. The presented statistics are not representative but

---

[62] The Austrian Integration Fund (ÖIF) is a fund of the Republic of Austria. The Fund provides individual support to refugees and migrants and provides relevant information to a variety of stakeholders. On behalf of the Federal Ministry for Europe, Integration and Foreign Affairs is the Austrian Integration Fund jointly responsible for the implementation of the Integration Agreement (Federal Ministry for Europe, Integration and Foreign Affairs, The Austrian Integration Fund, available at www.bmeia.gv.at/en/integration/the-austrian-integration-fund/, accessed on 5 March 2015).


[66] FLG II No. 258/2012.


reflect the cases that have been reported to the NGO. According to the current Racism Report (see ZARA, 2015) Muslims were particularly affected by racism in 2014. The number of racist incidents towards persons perceived as Muslims has almost doubled since August 2014. The incidents ranged from verbal attacks in parks and public transport, through physical assaults, vandalism against institutions, to hate speech and death threats on the internet. Thereby, ZARA has observed a clear correlation between current media coverage, political reactions and racist attacks. Altogether 794 racist incidents were reported to and documented by ZARA in the year 2014 the majority of incidents happened in public space (20%), in the area of goods and services such as housing or restaurants (19%) and on the internet (17%).

In 2014 the Federal Ministry for Europe, Integration and Foreign Affairs set up a hotline against discrimination and intolerance, as a measure to facilitate the reporting of racist incidents in Austria. The hotline provides help to those affected by discrimination based on ethnicity, national background or religion. The purpose of the hotline is to act as a central clearinghouse for victims, referring them to the corresponding contact points, to raise visibility and increase awareness. Partnering organizations are the Ombudsman for Equal Treatment and ZARA.

In July, the Federal Minister of the Interior, the head of the Austrian Football Association and the head of the Austrian Football Bundesliga presented concepts and measures for the prevention of extremism, racism and discrimination during football events. The measures are based on three pillars: (i) basic principles and cooperation; (ii) qualification and information; and (iii) prevention and further development. In this regard the amendment to the Security Police Act, which came into force on 1 July 2014, is also of importance. The amendments expanded the competence of the security authorities to now include the offense of incitement and the prohibition law. The security authorities can now enact prohibition orders to enter a certain place or enforce removals from the security area in case of offences related to racism or extremism, for example during major football events. This competence was previously limited to violent crimes. Furthermore, the amendment includes an authorization to transmit data to the Austrian Football Association and the Austrian Football Bundesliga. They can thus impose stadium bans for offenses under the prohibition act or in case of incitement (Federal Ministry of the Interior, 2014e).

3.2.5 Citizenship and Naturalization

According to Statistics Austria, 7,693 persons received Austrian citizenship in 2014. This is an increase of 3.7 per cent compared to the previous year. Since 2003, when the number of naturalizations reached its peak at 45,112, the number has continually decreased, reaching a low of 6,190 in 2010. The numbers have only slightly increased again in recent years with 7,693 naturalizations in 2014.

On 1 August 2013, a new reason for granting Austrian citizenship was introduced that allows the naturalization of very well integrated individuals after six years of consecutive legal residency (instead of ten years) (Art. 11a para 6 Citizenship Law 1985). However, in 2014, only 301 individuals, or 4 per cent of all naturalized persons, received Austrian citizenship based on this new reason (Statistics Austria, n.d.).

On 29 December 2014 the Citizenship Law 1985 was further amended. It now stipulates that citizenship will be withdrawn from a citizen who voluntarily participates and is actively involved in an organized armed group fighting abroad in situations of armed conflict, provided that he/she does not become stateless. These amendments are part of similar amendments to the Border Control Act that also tackle the issue of so called foreign fighters (see 3.3.2). The amendments entered into force on 1 January 2015.

In April 2014 two young women of Bosnian origin absconded from Vienna and supposedly left for the Syrian Arab Republic in order to join the terrorist organization Islamic State of Iraq and Syria (ISIS). The media picked up the story of the two women and the phenomenon of foreign fighters. In a press conference, the Federal Minister of the Interior stated that around 43 persons from Austria are fighting as jihadists abroad, many of them Russian citizens but some also with Austrian citizenship. Another 87 were fighting abroad but already returned to Austria. A discussion erupted as to why people from Austria join jihadists, how to identify youth at risk and what preventive measures can be taken.

---

Source: Statistics Austria.

---

75 FLG No. 311/1985, in the version of FLG I No. 104/2014.
76 For further information see Koppenberg 2014: 22.
77 Federal Act Amending the Border Control Act and the Citizenship Act 1985, FLG I No. 104/2014.
79 Foreign fighters are called those nationals of European countries who fight in the Syrian Arab Republic or in the Republic of Iraq. For further information see Hennessy, 2014.
80 Wiener Zeitung, Die Poster-Girls, 10 May 2014, p. 18.

### 3.2.6 Other Aspects of Integration

In 2014, the legislative basis for Islamic religious communities in Austria was amended. On 10 December 2014, the Council of Ministers passed the Act Concerning the External Legal Relationship of Islamic Religious Communities – Islam Act 2014.\footnote{Act concerning the external legal relationship of Islamic religious communities – Islam Act 2014, Draft, available at www.parlament.gv.at/PAKT/PR/JAHR_2015/PK0152/ (accessed on 17 December 2014).} The Islam Act regulates the rights and obligations of Islamic religious communities in Austria. The act stipulates – inter alia – that Islamic religious communities must be economically self-sustaining and that the funds for ongoing activities must be raised in Austria. This passage was particularly criticized by various stakeholders such as experts in constitutional law and religion as well as Muslim organizations that see Islamic religious communities disadvantaged compared to other religious communities.\footnote{Die Presse, Experten gegen Islamgesetz, 23 October 2015, available at http://diepresse.com/home/panorama/religion/4195325/Experten-gegen-Islamgesetz (accessed on 26 March 2015); Der Standard.at, Eine Chance für die Beheimatung der Muslime, 27 October 2014, available at http://derstandard.at/2000007372692/Eine-Chance-fuer-die-Beheimatung-der-Muslime (accessed on 26 March 2015).}

While the government parties Austrian People’s Party (ÖVP) and Social Democratic Party of Austria (SPÖ) supported the Islam Act, the opposition parties in the National Council did not. The Austrian Green Party and NEOS criticized that Muslims come under general suspicion when they – and no other religious communities – are required to ensure financial transparency. The Austrian Freedom Party (FPÖ) stated that the current draft of the Islam Act was not suitable to counteract radical Islamism in Austria. They therefore asked to send it back to the constitutional committee. The Team Stronach for Austria was, for example, sceptical regarding the proper implementation of the Act. They claimed it would be difficult to carry out controls on all Islamic religious communities.\footnote{Parliament of the Republic of Austria, Nationalrat beschließt neues Islamgesetz, Parliament correspondence No. 152 of 25 February 2015, available at www.parlament.gv.at/PAKT/PR/JAHR_2015/PK0152/ (accessed on 6 March 2015).}
3.3 Managing migration and mobility

3.3.1 Visa Policy and Schengen Governance

In 2014, the Visa Information System (VIS) was put into operation in additional Austrian representations abroad according to the EU roll-out-plan. The VIS has been operational in Austria since 2011.

Since 11 October 2014, the border control of VIS visa holders entering the country comprises the verification of the identity of the visa holder and the authenticity of the visa, by consulting the VIS using the number of the visa sticker in combination with the verification of fingerprints of the visa holder.

3.3.2 Border Monitoring and Control

Despite being a Schengen inner state, Austria is strongly engaged in all areas of integrated border management. In 2014 the preparations for Austria’s connection to Eurosur entered the final stage. The server was installed after all the technical details had been clarified and the necessary conditions for the connection had been established. Furthermore, training for administrators and operators took place in November 2014. In accordance with Article 5 of the Eurosur Regulation, Austria officially joined Eurosur on 1 December 2014.

In 2014, the test operation of an automatic border control system (eGate) at the Vienna International Airport was further developed within the framework of the EU FP7 project called “Fast Pass.” The “Fast Pass” project is looking at available opportunities for harmonization between all European automatic border control systems.

On 29 December 2014 the Border Control Act was amended with the aim to prevent the following groups from crossing the border and leaving Austria: (a) minors who do not have the consent of their legal guardian and who intent to take part in combat operations abroad and (b) persons whose travel documents were confiscated according to the Passport Act or the Aliens Police Act, or when their issuance was not approved. The amended Border Control Act entered into force on 1 January 2015. These amendments are part of similar amendments to the Citizenship Law 1985 that also tackle the issue of so called foreign fighters (see 3.2.6). According to the Federal Minister of the Interior, the exit and re-entry of foreign fighters is a weakness of the border control system. In order to identify foreign fighters, controls of passengers at Vienna International Airport coming from specific destinations (e.g. the Syrian Arab Republic) were intensified as of November 2014. To ensure effective controls, the Federal Minister of the Interior would like all EU Member States to

---

89 Ibid.
90 Eurosur is an information-exchange system between Schengen countries and Frontex designed to improve management of the EU external borders. The system has three main objectives: to reduce the number of irregular migrants entering the EU undetected; to reduce the number of deaths at the maritime borders by saving more lives at sea, and to increase the internal security of the EU as a whole by contributing to the prevention of cross-border crime (Frontex, EUROSUR, available at http://frontex.europa.eu/intelligence/eurosur, accessed on 4 March 2015). Eurosur was established on 2 December 2014 by Regulation (EU) No 1052/2013.
93 Ibid.
95 Foreign fighters are called those nationals of European countries who fight in the Syrian Arab Republic or in the Republic of Iraq. For further information see Hennessy, 2014.
enter the passport details of all returning foreign fighters in the SIS. As a preventive measure, Austrian police and law-enforcement officials received training and close cooperation with non-governmental partners is fostered in order to counteract radicalization.\textsuperscript{96}

4. INTERNATIONAL PROTECTION INCLUDING ASYLUM

4.1 Trends in Asylum Applications

In 2014, as in previous years, Austria considered itself to be one of the most burdened EU Member States in terms of the total number of asylum applications and, in particular, the number of asylum-seekers per capita. According to data provided by Eurostat, among the EU-28, Austria ranked seventh in 2014 in relation to the absolute number of asylum applications, and third in relation to asylum applications per million inhabitants (Eurostat, 2015a; Eurostat, 2015b).

In 2014, there were 28,027 asylum applications in Austria, which is an increase of 60 per cent compared to the previous year (2013: 17,503). The increase was mainly caused by applications from Syrian nationals (+5,763), Afghan nationals (+2,481), stateless persons (+1,032) and Somalian nationals (+729).

Over the past few years, the number of asylum applications has decreased since 2002 (39,354), which was a record year, reaching low points in 2007 (11,921) and 2010 (11,012). Since then, the numbers have increased again.

Figure 3: Number of asylum applications in Austria (2000–2014)

![Graph showing the number of asylum applications in Austria from 2000 to 2014.]

*Source:* Federal Ministry of the Interior; Statistics Austria.

In 2014 there was a considerable increase in the number of asylum applications from UNSC resolution 1244-administered Kosovo in Germany, Hungary and Austria (Eurostat, 2015a). While Kosovo/UNSC 1244 was, with 935 applicants, only the sixth most important country of origin of asylum-seekers in Austria in 2013, it ranked fourth with 1,901 applicants in 2014 (a twofold increase). The increase is due to rising numbers of asylum applications in the second half of 2014. The number increased from 46 in August to 174 in September to 784 in December.

---

98 Hereinafter referred to as Kosovo/UNSC 1244.
Kosovan citizens need a visa to enter the Schengen area, which means that they often enter Austria irregularly, transiting through Serbia and Hungary. Kosovo/UNSC 1244 is considered a safe third country in Austria according to Art. 1 Countries of Origin Ordinance, consequently, they have few chances of being granted protection status (Austrian Integration Fund, 2015). How this trend further develops, and what it implies for the Austrian asylum and reception system on the one hand and for the Kosovar economy (which faces a brain-drain) on the other, has to be further monitored in 2015.

4.2 Resettlement

In autumn 2013 Austria started its Humanitarian Admission Programme for Syrian Refugees (HAP I). The programme aimed at admitting 500 Syrian refugees, mainly vulnerable cases, especially women and children, families with children and persecuted minorities such as Christians. HAP I was completed in December 2014 with a total of 504 Syrian refugees being resettled from Jordan, Lebanon and Turkey – four refugees more than planned. In autumn 2014 the programme was enlarged. In the framework of HAP II, another 1,000 Syrian refugees are to be resettled in Austria. Out of the contingent of 1,000 Syrian refugees 202 have already arrived in October 2014. The programme is jointly organized by the Federal Ministry of the Interior, the Federal Office for Immigration and Asylum, the Federal Ministry for Europe, Integration and Foreign Affairs, the United Nations High Commissioner for Refugees (UNHCR) and the International Organization for Migration (IOM) (Federal Ministry of the Interior, 2014c).

In addition to those who came through the Humanitarian Admission Programme for Syrian Refugees, another 7,754 Syrian nationals lodged an application for international protection in Austria. Therewith, the Syrian Arab Republic was the main country of origin of asylum-seekers in

Source: Federal Ministry of the Interior.

---

99 FLG II No. 177/2009, in the version of FLG II No. 405/2013.
Since the start of the uprising in the Syrian Arab Republic in 2011 and the following violent conflict, the number of asylum applications lodged by Syrians increased by 18-times (2011: 422; 2014: 7,754).

**Figure 5: Number of asylum applications lodged by Syrian nationals (2011–2014)**

![Graph showing the increase in asylum applications from 2011 to 2014](image)

Source: Federal Ministry of the Interior.

According to information gathered by the NGO *Asylkoordination Österreich* the Federal Office for Immigration and Asylum handled asylum procedures of Syrian applicants quickly in 2014. Most of them received asylum status. However, official statistics are not yet available (Langthaler, 2014:9).

The public and the media engaged extensively with the topic of Syrians fleeing the war in their home country and coming to Austria as asylum-seekers and in the framework of the resettlement programme. The persistent humanitarian crisis in the Syrian Arab Republic and its neighbouring countries, and the enlargement of the Austrian Humanitarian Admission Programme as well as its implementation and accompanying challenges, were discussed.

As a reaction to the on-going humanitarian crisis in the Mediterranean, Austria proposed the initiative “Save Lives” at the Justice and Home Affairs council in July 2014. The project aims to facilitate legal entry for refugees in need of international protection. To do so, an EU-wide resettlement programme shall be established whereby refugees are selected by UNHCR in third countries and are then distributed among the Member States according to a distribution key based on relevant criteria.

### 4.3 Reception of Applicants for International Protection

In 2014 there was a lack of available reception facilities in the provinces and an overcrowding of the main initial reception centre, the federal reception facility East in Traiskirchen (Lower Austria), *Syrien ist größte Flüchtlingshölle*, 15 March 2014, p. 10. (Österreich)


Austria).\textsuperscript{105} At the end of July 2014 the number of asylum-seekers accommodated in the federal reception facility East reached around 1,400 (including around 600 UAMs) instead of the foreseen 480 applicants.\textsuperscript{106} This was because, upon admission to the actual asylum procedure, the asylum-seekers could not be transferred to reception facilities in the provinces where the necessary capacities were not available. The provinces did not fulfill their reception quotas as defined in the Basic Welfare Support Agreement\textsuperscript{107} between the federal government and the provinces. As a reaction, the governor of the province Lower Austria stopped the admission of further asylum-seekers to the reception facility through a decree; only UAMs below the age of 16 were still accepted. UAMs between 16 and 17 years old were accommodated in other federal reception facilities were places were available.\textsuperscript{108}

The overcrowding of the federal reception centre East was highly debated in the Austrian media. The mayor of Traiskirchen (SPÖ), where the federal reception centre East is located, was alarmed by the high number of asylum-seekers. He requested that the provinces ultimately meet their reception quotas.\textsuperscript{109} In May 2014 he proposed the development of a new law which would force the provinces under threat of sanctions to meet their reception obligations as defined under the Basic Welfare Support Agreement (i.e. quotas that are in proportion to the provinces’ populations). According to media reports, the Federal Minister of the Interior (ÖVP) disagreed, saying that sanctions would not be effective.\textsuperscript{110} At the beginning of September, the mayor then proposed the introduction of a limit of a maximum of 150 asylum-seekers per reception facility, which was also dismissed by the Federal Minister of the Interior.\textsuperscript{111} Instead the Federal Minister of the Interior called on the provinces and communities to provide additional reception facilities.\textsuperscript{112} The media reported on the search for new reception facilities.\textsuperscript{113} Thereby, the provinces were sometimes faced with the refusal of municipal authorities and politicians to host asylum-seekers and protests of the resident population.\textsuperscript{114}

\textsuperscript{105} A second initial reception centre is located in Talham (Upper Austria).
\textsuperscript{106} The actual maximum capacity of the federal reception centre East lies at 1,750 residents. In 2010, however, the governor of the province of Lower Austria agreed with the Federal Ministry of the Interior not to accommodate more than 480 people (Dossier, \textit{Wie die Politik Traiskirchen instrumentalisiert}, available at www.dossier.at/dossiers/asyl/seit-jahrzehnten-wird-mit-dem-fluechtlingslager-in-traiskirchen-politik-gemacht/#19, accessed on 9 September 2014).
\textsuperscript{107} FLG I No. 80/2014.
\textsuperscript{110} Österreich. \textit{Asyl-Quote: Jetzt macht Pröll Druck}, 31 May 2014, p. 6; Die Presse, \textit{Sanktionen schaffen keinen Asylplatz}, 22 June 2014, p. 3.
After the mayor of Traiskirchen had prompted a reception stop in the federal reception centre East on 30 July 2014, the Federal Minister of the Interior announced an emergency plan. This plan proposes different alternative reception possibilities: Hotel-pensions or guest houses, federal real estate (such as empty gyms, boarding schools), premises provided by NGOs and churches, empty military barracks and – as an absolute emergency solution – also accommodation in tents.

As a consequence of the overcrowding of the federal reception centre East, concerns were raised about degrading conditions in the initial reception centres. Also, reception places appropriate to the needs of UAMs were lacking, leading to UAMs being accommodated together with adults in regular reception facilities (Knapp, 2014:58–59). Thereupon, in June 2014 the Austrian Ombudsman Board planned to conduct on-site visits in order to identify potential deficits in the administration.

In order to find a durable solution to the capacity shortages in the Austrian reception system, in September 2014 the Federal Minister of the Interior proposed to carry out the admission procedure not in the federal reception facilities but directly in the provinces through the regional offices of the Federal Office for Immigration and Asylum. This proposal was widely discussed in the Austrian media at the end of the year. In November 2014, the provincial governors finally decided upon a new distribution system of asylum-seekers. The objective is to reach a better distribution of asylum-seekers among the provinces immediately after an application is filed. The presence of asylum-seekers in an initial reception centre will then only be required in Dublin-relevant cases.


117 The Austrian Ombudsman Board (AOB) is one of the so-called supreme bodies of the Republic of Austria and has been monitoring the public administration since 1977. Since 1 July 2012, the AOB has also been responsible for protecting and promoting compliance with human rights as part of the UN mandate (Austrian Ombudsman Board, The Austrian Ombudsman Board, available at http://volksanwaltschaft.gv.at/en/about-us#anchor-index-1679, accessed on 6 March 2015).


121 Regulation (EU) No 604/2013 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 26 June 2013 establishing the criteria and mechanisms for determining the Member State responsible for examining an application for international protection lodged in one of the Member States by a third-country national or a stateless person (recast) (Dublin III Regulation), 29 June 2013, OJ L 180/31.
The reactions to this agreement were mostly positive. The Governor of Carinthia (SPÖ), for instance, talked about the agreement as a “well-rounded refugee policy”. The Federal Minister of the Interior (ÖVP) called it a “historical reorientation”. The Caritas also regarded the decision of the provinces as an “overdue step”. While the NEOS signalled approval, the Austrian Freedom Party and the Austrian Green Party criticized the agreement, although from different directions. The Austrian Green Party criticized that it was neither clear where the announced distribution centres are to be established nor what they should accomplish. The Austrian Freedom Party welcomed the common action but criticized that this would only fight the symptoms and not the causes. The NGO Asylkoordination Österreich, however, was sceptical and referred to the distribution centres as not being well-thought-out. According to them, the newly installed Federal Office for Immigration and Asylum is already overstretched and would be even more burdened.

4.4 Integration of Applicants for International Protection

The number of work permits issued to asylum-seekers for apprenticeships increased from 12 in 2012, to 83 in 2013 (seven times as many as in 2012) and 95 in 2014 (1.14 times as many as in 2013). Thereby, the vast majority of asylum-seekers who were issued a work permit for apprenticeships in 2012 were 20 years old or younger (91.7%) while they were fewer in 2013 (65.06%) and still fewer in 2014 (63.16%).

This development has to be seen in the context of the legislative changes that took place during the past few years. In 2012 asylum-seekers below the age of 18 were, for the first time, granted access to apprenticeships, then – in 2013 - that access further extended to asylum-seekers up to the age of 25 (Koppenberg, 2014a:30).

Table 1: Work permits issued to asylum-seekers for apprenticeships, disaggregated by age groups (2012–2014)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Age group</th>
<th>2012</th>
<th>2013</th>
<th>2014</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0–20</td>
<td>21–25</td>
<td>Total</td>
<td>0–20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of work permits issued</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>54</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: Labour Market Service Austria, special evaluation.

The debate on liberalizing labour market access for asylum-seekers in general – that started in 2013 – continued in 2014. In Austria, asylum-seekers need a work permit in order to access the labour market. Such a work permit can be granted three months after they have been admitted to the actual asylum procedure if a labour market test has been passed, if there is no conflict with public or macroeconomic interests and if the conditions laid down in Art. 4 para 1 Act Governing the

---

126 See Koppenberg, 2014a: 30.
Employment of Foreign Nationals\textsuperscript{127} are fulfilled. In addition, employment is restricted by the so-called Bartenstein Decree (\textit{Bartensteinerlass}) to selected occupations with quota-regulated work permits, i.e. seasonal work in tourism, agriculture or forestry.\textsuperscript{128}

The political and media debate on a facilitated access to the labour market for asylum-seekers started in March and was intensified in September and October. The Federal Minister of Labour, Social Affairs and Consumer Protection (SPÖ) spoke out clearly against an opening of the labour market for asylum-seekers.\textsuperscript{129} In his opinion one should not create additional tensions on the already tight labour market. Instead he wants to increasingly give asylum-seekers the option of working on community and charitable projects.\textsuperscript{130} As the media reported, the Federal Minister of the Interior (ÖVP) is also against an extended access to the labour market for asylum-seekers. According to her judgement, Austria ranks relatively highly in comparison with other EU member states with regard to labour market access, because asylum-seekers have a limited right to work after three months.\textsuperscript{131} Conversely, according to the NGO Asylkoordination Österreich, Austria is one of the most restrictive countries in the EU.\textsuperscript{132} The Austrian Ombudsman Board is in favour of a facilitated labour market access, arguing that access to the labour market constitutes a human right. Moreover, it would relieve the pressure on the state budget, if asylum-seekers were able to support themselves.\textsuperscript{133}

Several approaches towards facilitating the labour market access were discussed in the Austrian media. The church-based aid organizations Diakonie and Caritas and the NGOs Integrationshaus and Volkshilfe demanded, for example, liberalization in form of full labour market access after six months.\textsuperscript{134} The Green Party demanded an annulment of the \textit{Bartenstein} Decree.\textsuperscript{135} A thus extended access to the labour market would facilitate the integration of asylum-seekers and enable them to earn the means to support themselves. In October, the association \textit{SOS Mitmensch} started an online-petition in which it demanded the annulment of the \textit{Bartenstein} Decree.\textsuperscript{136} In November the petition

\begin{footnotesize}
\begin{itemize}
\item \textsuperscript{127} FLG No. 281/1975, in the version of FLG I No. 72/2013.
\item \textsuperscript{128} Federal Ministry of Economy and Labour, \textit{EU-Erweiterungs-Anpassungsgesetz; Durchführungserlass (Bartensteinerlass)}, 435.006/6-II/7/04.
\end{itemize}
\end{footnotesize}
was handed over to the Federal Ministry of Labour, Social Affairs and Consumer Protection with more than 17,000 signatures. In early November, the Federal Chancellor (SPÖ) commented positively on an expansion of work possibilities for asylum-seekers. The Vice Chancellor (ÖVP) argued that internationally the trend is also in this direction. The Federal Minister of Labour, Social Affairs and Consumer Protection (SPÖ) remained sceptical and pointed to the high unemployment rate. He agreed with the social partners to commission a study in order to evaluate the reception capacities of the Austrian labour market.

4.5 International Protection Procedures

4.5.1 Efficiency and Quality

A major institutional change with regards to international protection procedures in Austria was the establishment of the Federal Office for Immigration and Asylum on 1 January 2014 (for further information see 2.2.1.). The European Refugee Fund in 2014 provided funding for the training of the Federal Office’ staff providing them with basic instruction and qualification with regard to the new structure and workflows (Federal Ministry of the Interior, n.d.:6). The main topics covered were asylum, measures terminating residence, procedural law, residence titles for exceptional circumstances, interview methods, preparation of decisions, standby duty, and chancellery. Overall, 104 training sessions with a total of 1,416 participants were conducted in 2014.

Several projects were implemented in 2014 in order to safeguard the quality and efficiency of the Austrian asylum system (Federal Ministry of the Interior, n.d.:6):

- “Structuring, Automatization and Implementation of Overall Quality Assurance in the Area of Asylum” (STARQ II)
  - Implementing Partner: UNHCR
  - Timeframe: January – December 2014
  - Funding: European Refugee Fund and Austrian Federal Ministry of the Interior

- “High Quality Interpretation in the Asylum Procedure” (QUADA)
  - Implementing Partner: UNHCR
  - Timeframe: January – December 2014
  - Funding: European Refugee Fund and Austrian Federal Ministry of the Interior

- “Identification of Potential Trafficked Persons in the Asylum Procedure” (IBEMA)
  - Implementing Partner: IOM
  - Timeframe: April – December 2014
  - Funding: European Refugee Fund and Austrian Federal Ministry of the Interior

- Furthermore, in the framework of the Country of Origin Service provided by the Austrian Red Cross, information on 164 countries of origin was collected and posted on the website

---


www.ecoi.net, research for authorities, courts and legal counsellors was conducted, and trainings on how to use country of origin information were implemented. Also, country reports concerning the socio-economic situation in the following countries of origin were released: Kazakhstan, Tajikistan, Kyrgyzstan, Tunisia and Uzbekistan.

- Implementing Partner: Austrian Red Cross
- Timeframe: January – December 2014
- Funding: European Refugee Fund and Austrian Federal Ministry of the Interior

4.5.2 Duration of Asylum Procedures

One of the aims of establishing the new Federal Office for Immigration and Asylum was to speed up asylum procedures (see 2.1.1). The director of the Federal Office for Immigration and Asylum draws a positive conclusion from the Federal Offices’ annual report despite a sharp increase in the number of asylum applications in 2014 (see 4.1). He stresses that the Federal Office planned to process around 15,800 applications but managed to take 18,196 decisions. These were as many as the former Asylum Court handled in 2013.¹⁴⁰ The Austrian Ombudsman Board, on the contrary, pointed out that they received by the beginning of November 2014 161 complaints because of the long duration of first-instance asylum procedures; 103 more complaints than in 2013.¹⁴¹ Statistics on the actual duration of asylum procedures are not available yet.

4.6 Cooperation with the European Asylum Support Office (EASO)

Since 2010, Austria has regularly supported Greece and Bulgaria in tackling and overcoming difficulties in the field of asylum within the context of asylum and migration action plans. In 2014, these supportive measures included:

- Assistance to Greece in the field of information on countries of origin and language analysis;
- Stock Taking Mission to Bulgaria from 17 to 21 February 2014 to evaluate the progress made in the context of the EASO Support Plan Bulgaria;
- Assistance to Bulgaria in the field of information on countries of origin (Syrian Arab Republic and Republic of Iraq).¹⁴²

In the context of the EASO-managed pilot projects “Joint Processing”, Austria participated in the following pilot projects in 2014:

- Cooperation with Hungary in the field of information on Afghanistan as a country of origin;
- Cooperation with Germany in relation to the execution of the Dublin III Regulation.¹⁴³

Furthermore, Austria participated in various activities concerning information on countries of origin (e.g. preparation of reports about Somalia and Afghanistan) and conducted study visits (trainings, information exchange) for staff of asylum authorities in third countries.¹⁴⁴

¹⁴³ Ibid.
5. UNACCOMPANIED MINORS

5.1 Trends in Asylum Applications

The number of asylum applications lodged by UAMs fluctuated over the period 2004 to 2014. After a decrease from 1,574 in 2012 to 999 in 2013 (−36.53%) the number of asylum-seeking UAMs reached a peak in 2014 with 2,082 asylum applications lodged by UAMs (+108.41%).

Figure 6: Number of asylum applications lodged by UAMs in Austria (2004–2014)

Source: Federal Ministry of the Interior.

Afghanistan was by far the main country of origin of UAMs applying for international protection in Austria in 2014 (1,253 applications or 60% of all asylum applications lodged by UAMs). The Syrian Arab Republic ranked second (259 applications or 12%) and Somalia third (221 applications or 11%).

Figure 7: Number of asylum applications lodged by UAMs in Austria by citizenship, top-10 (2014)

Source: Federal Ministry of the Interior.

144 Ibid.
5.2 The Situation of Unaccompanied Minors in Austria

On the occasion of the 25th anniversary of the UN Convention on the Rights of the Child\(^{145}\) on 20 November 2014, the situation of UAMs in Austria was closely examined. The NGO *Asylkoordination Österreich*, for instance, raised the criticism that UAMs in Austria are primarily considered as asylum-seekers or refugees and only secondly as children. Problems exist in the area of accommodation and in the asylum procedure, where there is a lack of tailored services and proceedings adapted to the special situation of this particularly vulnerable group.\(^{146}\)

Likewise, Ombudsman Günther Kräuter from the Austrian Ombudsman Board stressed the need of special protection for UAMs. He demanded national minimum standards with a regulated daily structure, language courses, school attendance and a range of leisure activities. On 22 November 2014 he opened an investigation procedure *ex officio* regarding the situation of UAMs in Austria. In addition, he called for a solution for the province of Salzburg, where UAMs were excluded from the scope of applicability in the course of the amendment of the Children and Youth Service Act.\(^{147}\)

In February 2015 the outcomes of the investigation were presented. A deficit with regard to care and reception of UAMs was found. The Austrian Ombudsman Board therefore made the following requests:

- The provinces through their Children and Youth Service Authorities must take over the guardianship of UAMs immediately (i.e. also while they are accommodated in the federal initial reception centres);
- UAMs need to be accommodated in special reception centres that adequately meet their needs;
- The Children and Youth Service must not differentiate between Austrian children and those seeking asylum (e.g. the maximum amounts of basic welfare support shall be increased and adapted to the cost rates of the Children and Youth Service);\(^{148}\)
- Full access to school education and vocational training must be ensured.\(^{149}\)

5.3 Legislative Developments

In 2014, several legislative changes with regard to unaccompanied minors (UAMs) came into effect; most of them are linked to the restructuring of the asylum authorities and the administrative jurisdiction (see 2.1).

---


\(^{148}\) For further information on this topic see Koppenberg, 2015: 53 ff.

5.3.1 Asylum-Seeking Unaccompanied Minors

Since 1 January 2014, the new Federal Office for Immigration and Asylum is the first instance decision-maker on applications for international protection and the newly established Federal Administrative Court decides upon respective appeals (Art. 3 and 7 Federal Office for Immigration and Asylum Procedures Act). For UAMs, the deadline for submitting an appeal is four weeks, which is longer than the usual two weeks deadline that is applicable to other persons (Art. 16 para 1 Federal Office for Immigration and Asylum Procedures Act in conjunction with Art. 7 para 4 Administrative Court Procedures Act\(^\text{150}\)).

Since January 2014 unaccompanied minors who are 14 years or older have been required to cooperate with the authorities in tracing their family members in their country of origin, in a third country or in a EU Member State and to submit the results to the Federal Office for Immigration and Asylum. This duty to cooperate does not apply in situations in which the minor is not in a position to do so due to circumstances which are beyond his/her sphere of influence, or in situations in which the search for family members is not in the best interests of the child (Art. 13 para 6 Federal Office for Immigration and Asylum Procedures Act).

Moreover, since 1 January 2014, the third revision of the Dublin Regulation is directly applicable in Austria. It includes advanced protection rules for unaccompanied minors regarding the responsibility of a EU Member State for examining an asylum application, representation in legal proceedings, and family tracing, as well as the creation of an information sheet.

5.3.2 Non Asylum-Seeking Unaccompanied Minors

Since 1 January 2014, all non-asylum-seeking minors whose interests cannot be ensured by their guardian are to be appointed a legal representative for proceedings before the Federal Office for Immigration and Asylum and the Federal Administrative Court with regard to the eighth chapter of the Aliens Police Act (measures terminating residence) according to Art. 10 para 3 and 4 Federal Office for Immigration and Asylum Procedures Act.

Since the Aliens Authorities Restructuring Act\(^\text{151}\) entered into force on 1 January 2014, unaccompanied minors are able to apply for the “Red-White-Red Card” under more flexible conditions (Art. 41a para 10 Settlement and Residence Act).

\(^{150}\) FLG I No. 33/2013, in the version of FLG I No. 122/2013.

\(^{151}\) FLG I No. 87/2012.
6. ACTIONS ADDRESSING TRAFFICKING IN HUMAN BEINGS

6.1 National Initiatives

Located in the centre of Europe, Austria is affected by trafficking in human beings as both a transit and destination country. Identified trafficked persons originate mainly from the Eastern European countries of Bulgaria, Romania and Hungary, from Nigeria and from the Philippines. Human trafficking in Austria is mostly related to sexual exploitation, but labour exploitation also occurs in agriculture, construction, the catering sector, and in domestic and care work. Cases of trafficking for begging and for committing property crimes have also been increasingly reported, often involving minors.152

In order to address the issue of trafficking in human beings, Austria set up the Task Force on Combating Human Trafficking in November 2004 under the leadership of the Federal Ministry for Europe, Integration and Foreign Affairs and chaired by Ambassador Elisabeth Tichy-Fisslberger. Representatives of all competent ministries, including their outsourced agencies, the provinces, as well as NGOs, cooperate closely within the Task Force in order to combat trafficking in human beings in Austria. The Task Force is also in charge of the development, implementation and monitoring of the National Action Plans. In 2014, the third “National Action Plan to Combat Trafficking in Human Beings (2012–2014)”153 was still active. The fourth National Action Plan 2015–2017 is currently under development.154

6.1.1 Awareness Raising

In February 2014 on the occasion of the Austrian Chairmanship of the Council of Europe and the Swiss Chairmanship of the Organization for Security and Co-operation in Europe (OSCE), the Austrian Federal Ministry for European and International Affairs, the Austrian Federal Ministry of the Interior and the Swiss Federal Department of Foreign Affairs organized a joint OSCE/Council of Europe high-level conference called “Not for Sale – Joining Forces Against Trafficking in Human Beings”. The aim was to take stock of the progress made and to discuss the challenges in the implementation of the Council of Europe Convention on Action against Human Trafficking.155 Outcomes from the monitoring and evaluation carried out by the Council of Europe’s Group of Experts on Action against Trafficking in Human Beings (GRETA) in almost 30 European countries were presented. The Secretary General of the Council of Europe stressed that achievements have been made but big challenges remain such as support to vulnerable groups, identification of potential victims, protection of victims and cooperation with international actors and civil society (Federal Ministry of the Interior, 2014b).

154 See footnote nr. 152.
Furthermore, as in previous years, for the seventh time the Task Force on Combating Human Trafficking organized a public event on the occasion of the EU Anti-Trafficking Day in October. This year the event, titled “Joining Forces Against Human Trafficking”, focussed on the 10th anniversary of the Task Force on Combating Human Trafficking, looking at its goals, achievements and challenges faced. Other topics included prostitution, labour exploitation and trafficked men.\footnote{Task Force on Combating Human Trafficking, \textit{Joining Forces against Human Trafficking – Invitation and Programme}, 10 October 2014, available at \url{http://bim.bfg.ac.at/sites/files/bim/attachments/einladung_anti-menschenhandelstag_2014.pdf} (accessed on 12 March 2015).}

\subsection*{6.1.2 Identification of Potential Victims}

In connection with the new competencies of the Federal Office for Immigration and Asylum for issuing residence permits for trafficked persons, officials from the Federal Office for Immigration and Asylum participated in training sessions organized by IOM on the identification of trafficked persons in the asylum procedure. These trainings were held together with Austrian experts in the field of anti-trafficking and asylum in the context of the project “Identifying potential victims of human trafficking in the Austrian asylum procedure – IBEMA.”\footnote{Federal Office for Immigration and Asylum, \textit{Erkennen von Opfern von Menschenhandel}, News, 23 June 2014, available at \url{www.bfa.gv.at/presse/news/detail.aspx?nwid=354D554C383076436165763D&ctrl=796C386F347944696937796A68352F47503437326B513D3D&nwo=0} (accessed on 8 December 2014).}

Furthermore, the working group “labour exploitation” within the Task Force on Combating Human Trafficking developed a list of indicators for identifying trafficked persons for the purpose of labour exploitation in 2014. The list is intended to enable regulatory authorities, such as the Labour Inspectorate or the Financial Police, to identify labour exploitation during their daily inspections and was created in line with their operating principles.\footnote{Federal Ministry of Labour, Social Affairs and Consumer Protection, December 2014.} In this context, the Federal Ministry of Labour, Social Affairs and Consumer Protection is preparing an update to the existing internal decree for labour inspectors on human trafficking.\footnote{European Commission, \textit{Together against Trafficking in Human Beings – Austria}, available at \url{http://ec.europa.eu/anti-trafficking/content/nip/austria_en} (accessed on 12 March 2015).}

\subsection*{6.1.3 Provision of Information and Support to Victims}

The Federal Ministry of Labour, Social Affairs and Consumer Protection, together with the Men’s Health Centre (abbreviation: MEN), initiated and finances the contact point MEN VIA\footnote{MEN – Men’s Health Center, \textit{Unterstützung für Männer, die von Menschenhandel betroffen sind}, available at \url{www.men-center.at/typo2013/typo3/projekte/via.html} (accessed on 8 December 2014).} for trafficked men as a pilot project. The contact point, which was opened in late 2013, offers counselling and care in the first language of the assisted men, when possible. Aspects of psychosocial and psychological health and life coaching are addressed and support is offered with regard to medical care.\footnote{Federal Ministry of Labour, Social Affairs and Consumer Protection, December 2014.} At the moment there are no shelters for trafficked men in Austria.

\subsection*{6.2 International Cooperation}

Austria cooperates with third countries as well as Interpol and Europol and is engaged in several bilateral and multilateral projects and initiatives.\footnote{See footnote nr. 159.} This includes police action to identify trafficked

In 2014 Austria continued its participation in the EU-funded project “CONFRONT – Countering new forms of Roma children trafficking: participatory approach”. The project was initiated in 2013 as a reaction to the stereotypical linking of child trafficking to the Roma community and in order to fighting child trafficking and ensure child protection. It is headed by the Ludwig Boltzmann Institute of Human Rights (BIM) in cooperation with ECPAT (End Child Prostitution, Child Pornography and Trafficking of Children for Sexual Purposes) Austria and is supported by the Federal Ministry for Europe, Integration and Foreign Affairs. The project is implemented in Austria, Bulgaria, Greece, Hungary, Italy and Romania and will end in June 2015.\footnote{164}{BIM, \textit{CONFRONT – Countering new forms of Roma children trafficking: participatory approach}, available at http://bim.lbg.ac.at/en/confront-countering-new-forms-roma-children-trafficking-participatory-approach (accessed on 18 March 2015).}
7. IRREGULAR MIGRATION INCLUDING SMUGGLING

7.1 Developments at National Level

The Austrian media regularly reported on irregular migration to Austria. Articles picked up cases of arrested smugglers in different provinces, stating their nationality and routes, as well as the nationality of apprehended smuggled migrants. Official statistics were presented by the Federal Criminal Intelligence Service in its annual report on migrant smuggling. The report includes information on smugglers, smuggled migrants and irregular migrants, as well as smuggling routes. The annual report is mainly based on data from the Federal Criminal Intelligence Service’s smuggling database, on information retrieved from official acts carried out by the Federal Criminal Intelligence Service and other authorities and on the analysis of various sources (Federal Criminal Intelligence Service, 2015:8).

In 2014, 511 smugglers were apprehended; this is an increase of 45 per cent compared to 2013 (352). The majority of smugglers had the Hungarian nationality. Hungary was also the country from which the second most border crossings were registered (34.4%), right after Italy (51.6%). The number of apprehended smuggled persons increased considerably from 12,323 in 2013 to 20,768 in 2014 (+69%). They manly had the Syrian and Afghan nationality. Interesting to note is that, while the number of apprehended smuggled Syrians increased between 2013 and 2014 (+234%) – caused by the ongoing conflict in the Syrian Arab Republic – the number of Afghans decreased (-149%). With regards to the number of persons who irregularly entered Austria, or were staying irregularly in the country, there was a decrease of 14 per cent (2013: 14,811; 2014: 12,791). They were mainly Syrian and Eritrean nationals (Federal Criminal Intelligence Service, 2015:10–11).

Figure 8: Number of apprehended smugglers, smuggled migrants and irregular migrants, 2004–2014

![Graph showing the number of apprehended smugglers, smuggled migrants and irregular migrants from 2004 to 2014.](image)

Source: Federal Criminal Intelligence Service.

In 2014 the media reported exhaustively and emotionally about one particular case of migrant smuggling. It concerned a claim brought against eight individuals from India, Pakistan and Afghanistan. Allegedly the individuals engaged in the commercial facilitation of the smuggling of fellow nationals in the context of a criminal organization (§114 Alien Police Act). The trial, which took place from March until December 2014, which was accompanied by protests and procedural flaws, resulted in seven convictions and one verdict of not guilty. The defending lawyers rejected the allegations concerning a criminal organization and spoke of friendly turns within a community of fate, depending on reciprocal support, that were provided by the accused.  

In reaction to the judgments, Caritas and criminal law scholar Helmut Fuchs launched a critique regarding the legal regime governing migrant smuggling. As did SOS Mitmensch which, further, demanded a decriminalization of the facilitation of escapes. The Austrian Student’s Union called for an abolishment of the article governing migrants smuggling (Art. 114 Alien Police Act). Respectively, the Austrian Green Party urged for an amendment of the law, so as to allow a distinction between facilitating an escape and smuggling with an exploiting nature.

7.2 International Cooperation

In the area of counteracting migrant smuggling, in 2014 Austria cooperated with Italy and Hungary, the two countries from which the most border crossings were registered, and with Germany to which smuggling routes crossing Austria continue.

A meeting between Austria, Hungary and Serbia took place in October 2014 where it was agreed upon that Serbia will – in the future – directly participate in the FIMATHU (Facilitated Illegal Migration affecting Austria and Hungary) project and receive technical assistance from Austria. This was deemed necessary because smuggling routes run via Serbia and Hungary towards Austria.

The cooperation and information exchange with Italy and Germany was fostered through regular work meetings in 2014. In October, for instance, a working meeting with Germany took place, in

---


which both parties agreed to further intensify their cooperation to combat migrant smuggling. In November a working meeting with Italy was held where, among other topics, transfer modalities in the context of readmissions were discussed. Both countries agreed that a fast and non-bureaucratic handling of cases is an important measure in combating smuggling activities. Furthermore, on 10 July 2014, Austria and Italy signed a police cooperation agreement that allows joint patrols in the border area (Federal Ministry of the Interior, 2014d). Hence, joint patrols of Austrian, German and Italian police officers have been controlling trains on the route between Trient and the Brenner since 10 November 2014. During these joint patrols, the Austrian and German police officers do not have any executive powers on the Italian territory. Instead, they provide advice, support and information. The media reported frequently on refugees that were apprehended by the Austrian police while crossing the Brenner from Italy into Austria. In line with the Dublin III Regulation, they are returned to Italy, which is competent for the assessment of their asylum applications.

8. RETURN

8.1 Forced Return

8.1.1 Implementation of Forced Returns

In 2014, Austria carried out 1,619 forced returns (including third-country nationals and EU citizens) – 284 fewer forced returns than in the previous year. Overall, between 2009 and 2014, the number of forced returns in Austria decreased by 35 per cent from 2,481 in 2009 to 1,619 in 2014.

![Figure 9: Total number of forced returns from Austria (2009–2014)](http://frontex.europa.eu/operations/archive-of-operations/?year=&type=&host)

In the framework of its forced return implementation, Austria hosted five Frontex Joint Return Operations in 2014. Three of the Joint Return Operations departed towards Kosovo/UNSC 1244 in February, April and November. In total 166 persons were returned to Kosovo/UNSC 1244 including 52 from Austria. Participating Member States included: Finland, France, Germany, Hungary, Slovakia and Sweden. One Joint Return Operation departed towards Nigeria in June 2014. In total, 26 persons were returned to Nigeria including three from Austria. Participating Member States included: Denmark, Finland, France, Germany, Greece, Malta, Norway, Slovakia and Switzerland. Another Joint Return Operation departed towards Albania and Georgia in December 2014. In total, 43 persons were returned to Albania (including two from Austria) and 32 persons to Georgia (including four from Austria). Participating Member States included: Finland, France, Germany, Lithuania and Spain. 176

With regard to the protection from forced return, the Austrian Constitutional Court stated, in its decision on 26 February 2014 (G59/2013), that Art. 12a para 1 Asylum Act was unconstitutional. The article principally excluded a factual removal protection in cases of follow-up applications for international protection, thereby not distinguishing between inadmissible follow-up applications and those who might have a chance of success because of change of circumstances. The Court explained...

---

that this would make any reconsideration in favour of the asylum-seeker impossible (Schöpfer, 2014).

8.1.2 Measures Terminating Residence

The restructuring of the asylum and aliens authorities in Austria (for further details see 2.1) brought about some substantive legal changes in the field of measures terminating residence, which are consistent with the most recent high court decisions. Inter alia, a distinction is now made between (i) return decisions for (non-privileged) third-country nationals, (ii) expulsions of privileged third-country nationals and EU residents, and (iii) orders of removal (within the framework of the Dublin Procedure).

Furthermore, return decisions and entry bans for (non-privileged) third-country nationals are, since the amendments to the Aliens police Act in the framework of the Act Amending the Aliens Authorities Restructuring Act \[177\] that entered into force on 1 January 2014, no longer automatically linked. The amended version of Art. 53 para 1 Aliens Police Act foresees the opportunity to combine a return decision with an entry ban but does not prescribe an automatic combination of both. This was the case before 2014 and was criticized by several actors which led to an examination on conformity with the Austrian constitution and EU law and a decision of the Administrative High Court \[178\] on that matter (Rutz, 2014:16–17).

8.1.3 Detention Pending Removal

In January 2014, a new centre for detention pending removal was opened in Vordernberg (Styria). Due to its modern and humane character, this detention centre is considered an exemplary model for other detention centres in Austria. \[179\] The detention centre was constructed as a specialized centre in compliance with international expertise and recommendations, \[180\] especially from the Austrian Human Rights Advisory Board \[181\] and the European Committee for the Prevention of Torture and Inhuman or Degrading Treatment or Punishment \[182\] (for further details see AT EMN NCP, 2014:31ff). However, the detention centre Vordernberg sparked discussions with regard to its administration. The Federal Ministry of the Interior signed a contract with the municipality of Vordernberg regarding the assignment of services in the new detention centre who – in turn – contracted a private sector service provider to run the centre, consequently, several stakeholders raised concerns with regard to the outsourcing of public tasks to the private sector. According to Groschedl the enforcement of the daily structure within the detention centre falls under the competence of the service provider, as well as the care and surveillance of the detainees. This might lead to situations where the use of force on behalf of the service provider becomes necessary (Groschedl, 2014:78–79).

\[177\] FLG I No. 68/2013.
\[178\] Austrian Administrative High Court, 15 May 2012, 2012/18/0029.
In response, the Austrian Ombudsman Board initiated an investigation to verify whether the outsourcing of these tasks is in line with the Austrian Constitution. In its preliminary report the Austrian Ombudsman Board concludes that the running of detention centres is part of the national core tasks and that competencies in the area of violence and conflict prevention fall under national jurisdiction and have to be effected by the police. However, private service contractors are allowed to provide support services such as food provision, technical maintenance and snow clearance. According to the Austrian Ombudsman Board, the line has to be drawn to indicate where it becomes an infringement of the fundamental rights of the detainees. Hence, the determination and implementation of a daily structure for the detainees and the appointment of employees of a security firm for the protection of staff and detainees against “assaults” must be conducted by the police authorities themselves. Moreover, the Austrian Ombudsman Board regards the lack of legislation for the legal protection of detainees against physical assaults by private security personnel as problematic.

The issues regarding the detention centre Vordernberg, as outlined above, were also discussed in the Austrian media. Additionally, at the beginning of the year, the media reported on the challenges of making the centre operational. These were caused – so it was speculated – by missing permissions, delayed delivery of medical equipment, but also technical difficulties at the Federal Office for Immigration and Asylum (see 2.1.1). Whilst the detention centre was planned for 200 detainees, by May 2014 not more than 15 persons were detained. The average number of detainees over the year 2014 was way below the capacity. Depending on the calculations, it lay between 21 and 40 detainees.

8.1.4 International Cooperation

In order to support the implementation of EU readmission agreements, Austria has concluded respective implementing protocols with third countries. On 1 January 2014 the protocol between the Austrian federal government and the government of Georgia to implement the Agreement between the EU and Georgia on the readmission of persons with unauthorized residence entered into force. The protocol regulates, for example, transfer modalities, costs and information exchange. On 11 November 2014 the implementing protocol between the Austrian federal government and the cabinet of ministers of the Ukraine to implement the agreement between the EU and the Ukraine on the readmission of persons entered into force. It regulates the competent authorities, the border crossing points, readmission applications, transfer modalities, costs, etc. in particular.

Austria furthermore continued to participate in the project “European Integrated Return Management” (EURINT) in 2014. The EURINT network is a partnership between 21 migration

186 Kleine Zeitung, Acht Insassen, 28 Polizisten, 26 May 2014, p. 44.
188 FLG III No. 318/2013.
189 FLG III No. 9/2015.
and return organizations and the EU Agency Frontex. It seeks to increase cooperation with third countries in the field of return. In October 2014, Austria, through its Federal Office for Immigration and Asylum, chaired a workshop on Nigeria as country of return. During the workshop, 10 experts from Denmark, France, Norway, Hungary and Sweden exchanged their experiences and challenges faced with regard to return certificates and cooperation on return. Best practices were presented and there were discussions regarding how to reach synergies in order to ensure a smooth forced return.\(^{191}\)

8.2 Voluntary Return

The number of voluntary returns\(^{192}\) from Austria decreased – overall – by 14 per cent from 4,088 in 2009 to 3,512 in 2013. Within that period, however, the number fluctuated with a peak in 2010 (4,499 voluntary returns) and a trough in 2012 (3,209 voluntary returns). The statistics for 2014 have not yet been published.

![Figure 10: Total number of voluntary returns\(^{193}\) from Austria (2009–2013)](image)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Number of Voluntary Returns</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2009</td>
<td>4,088</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2010</td>
<td>4,499</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2011</td>
<td>3,400</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2012</td>
<td>3,209</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2013</td>
<td>3,512</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>


Also in 2014 Austria treated voluntary return as a preferred alternative to forced returns and thus supported implementing partners’ measures and projects in this regard. The IOM Country Office for Austria assisted 2,299 voluntary returnees through the General Humanitarian Return Programme in 2014, the largest assisted voluntary return programme in Austria. The majority of these returnees were funded by the Federal Ministry of the Interior (IOM CO Austria, 2015).

Alongside Caritas Austria, LEFÖ-IBF,\(^ {194}\) Verein Menschenleben, Verein Menschenrechte Österreich, the provincial government of Carinthia, the Federal Ministry of Justice and ORS


\(^{192}\) The term “voluntary returns” includes both “voluntary return” and “voluntary departure” according to the European Migration Network’s *Asylum and Migration Glossary 3.0* (EMN, 2014a).

\(^{193}\) Ibid.

Service GmbH also provided assistance to voluntary returnees in 2014, mainly in the form of return counselling. The latter fully financed by national funds while the other projects were co-financed by the European Return Fund (EMN, 2014b:15–16). Next to assisted voluntary return, the following reintegration projects were implemented in 2014 (EMN, 2014b:17–18):

- **Assistance for Voluntary Returnees returning from the Austrian province of Vorarlberg**
  - Implemented by: Caritas Vorarlberg
  - Funding: Province of Vorarlberg and the Federal Ministry of the Interior

- **Assisted Voluntary Return and Reintegration for Nigerian Nationals in Austria**
  - Implemented by: IOM Country Office for Austria
  - Funding: Federal Ministry of the Interior and the European Return Fund

- **Assisted Voluntary Return and Reintegration of Returnees in Afghanistan**
  - Implemented by: IOM Country Office for Austria
  - Funding: Federal Ministry of the Interior and the European Return Fund

- **Assisted Voluntary Return and Reintegration of Returnees in Pakistan**
  - Implemented by: IOM Country Office for Austria
  - Funding: Federal Ministry of the Interior and the European Return Fund

- **Assisted Voluntary Return and Reintegration of Returnees to the Russian Federation/the Chechen Republic**
  - Implemented by: IOM Country Office for Austria
  - Funding: Federal Ministry of the Interior and the European Return Fund

- **Coordinated Approach for the Reintegration of Victims of Trafficking (CARE) from Austria, France, Portugal, Spain and the United Kingdom**\(^{195}\)
  - Implemented by: IOM Country Office for Austria in cooperation with the IOM Country Offices for France, Portugal, Spain and the United Kingdom
  - Funding: European Return Fund and the Federal Ministry of the Interior (for Austria)

- **Reintegration in Kosovo – Cooperation with Microcredit Institutions and the Economy (ReKoKO IV)**
  - Implemented by: International Centre for Migration Policy Development (ICMPD)
  - Funding: Federal Ministry of the Interior and the European Return Fund

- **Sustainable Return for Vulnerable or Disadvantaged people (SURE) from Austria, Belgium, Greece, the Netherlands and the United Kingdom to Mongolia, Iraq and Pakistan**\(^{196}\)
  - Implemented by: Caritas Austria in the framework of the European Reintegration Support Organisations Network (ERSO)
  - Funding: European Return Fund

---


### ANNEX

#### A.1 Translations and Abbreviations

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>English term</th>
<th>English Abbreviation</th>
<th>German term</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Act Amending the Aliens Authorities Restructuring Act</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>FNG-Anpassungsgesetz</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Act Establishing the Federal Office for Immigration and Asylum</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>BFA-Einrichtungsgesetz</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Act Governing the Employment of Foreign Nationals</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>Ausländerbeschäftigungsgesetz</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Administrative Court Procedures Act</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>Verwaltungsgerichtsfahrengesetz</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Administrative High Court</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>Verwaltungsgerichtshof</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aliens Authorities Restructuring Act</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>Fremdenbehördenneustrukturierungsgesetz</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aliens Police Act</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>Fremdenpolizeigesetz</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Asylum Act 2005</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>Asylgesetz 2005</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Austrian Freedom Party</td>
<td>FPÖ</td>
<td>Freiheitliche Partei Österreich</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Austrian Green Party</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>Die Grünen</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Austrian Institute of Economic Research</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>Österreichisches Institut für Wirtschaftsforschung</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Austrian Ombudsman Board</td>
<td>AOB</td>
<td>Volksanwaltschaft</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Austrian People’s Party</td>
<td>ÖVP</td>
<td>Österreichische Volkspartei</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Austrian Student’s Union</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>Österreichische HochschülerInnschaft</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bartenstein Decree</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>Bartensteinerlass</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Basic Welfare Support Agreement</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>Grundversorgungsvereinbarung</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Commission for Migration and Integration Research</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>Kommission für Migrations- und Integrationsforschung</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Constitutional Court</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>Verfassungsgerichtshof</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Contact point for union support for undocumented workers</td>
<td>UNDOK</td>
<td>Anlaufstelle zur gewerkschaftlichen Unterstützung undokumentiert Arbeitender</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Counselling, Education and Support for Migrant Women-Intervention Centre for Trafficked Women</td>
<td>LEFÖ-IBF</td>
<td>Beratung, Bildung und Begleitung für Migrantinnen-Interventionsstelle für Betroffene von Frauenhandel</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Countries of Origin Ordinance</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>Herkunftsstaaten-Verordnung</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>End Child Prostitution, Child Pornography and Trafficking of Children for Sexual Purposes</td>
<td>ECPAT</td>
<td>Arbeitsgemeinschaft zum Schutz der Kinder vor sexueller Ausbeutung</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Entry ban</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>Einreiseverbot</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>European Asylum Support Office</td>
<td>EASO</td>
<td>Europäisches Unterstützungsbüro für Asylfragen</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>European Commission</td>
<td>EC</td>
<td>Europäische Kommission</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>European Migration Network</td>
<td>EMN</td>
<td>Europäisches Migrationsnetzwerk</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>European Reintegration Support Organisations Network</td>
<td>ERSO</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>European Union</td>
<td>EU</td>
<td>Europäische Union</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Term</td>
<td>Abbreviation</td>
<td>Description</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------------------------------------------</td>
<td>--------------</td>
<td>-------------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Expulsion</td>
<td></td>
<td>Ausweisung</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Federal Administrative Court</td>
<td></td>
<td>Bundesverwaltungsgericht</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Federal Basic Welfare Support Act</td>
<td></td>
<td>Grundversorgungsgesetz – Bund</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Federal Criminal Intelligence Service</td>
<td></td>
<td>Bundeskriminalamt</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Federal Government Basic Welfare Support Act</td>
<td></td>
<td>Grundversorgungsgesetz Bund</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Federal Law Gazette</td>
<td>FLG</td>
<td>Bundesgesetzblatt</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Federal Ministry for Europe, Integration and Foreign Affairs</td>
<td></td>
<td>Bundesministerium für Europa, Integration und Äußeres</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Federal Ministry for Families and Youth</td>
<td></td>
<td>Bundesministerium für Familien und Jugend</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Federal Ministry of Justice</td>
<td></td>
<td>Bundesministerium für Justiz</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Federal Ministry of Labour, Social Affairs and Consumer Protection</td>
<td></td>
<td>Bundesministerium für Arbeit, Soziales und Konsumentenschutz</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Federal Ministry of the Interior</td>
<td></td>
<td>Bundesministerium für Inneres</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Federal Office for Immigration and Asylum</td>
<td>FL</td>
<td>Bundesamt für Fremdenwesen und Asyl</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Federal Office for Immigration and Asylum Procedures Act</td>
<td></td>
<td>BFA-Verfahrensgesetz</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Federation of Austrian Industries</td>
<td></td>
<td>Indusiellenvereinigung</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Humanitäres Aufnahmeprogramm</td>
<td>HAP</td>
<td>Humanitarian Admission Programme</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Initial Reception Centre</td>
<td></td>
<td>Erstaufnahmestelle</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Institute for Advanced Studies</td>
<td></td>
<td>Institut für Höhere Studien</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Integrated Alien Application</td>
<td></td>
<td>Integrierte Fremdenadministration</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>International Centre for Migration Policy Development</td>
<td>ICMPD</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>International Organization for Migration</td>
<td>IOM</td>
<td>Internationale Organisation für Migration</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Labour Market Service Austria</td>
<td></td>
<td>Arbeitsmarktservice Österreich</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ludwig Boltzmann Institute of Human Rights</td>
<td>BIM</td>
<td>Ludwig Boltzmann Institut für Menschenrechte</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>National Contact Point</td>
<td>NCP</td>
<td>Nationaler Kontakt punkt</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NEOS – The New Austria</td>
<td>NEOS</td>
<td>NEOS – Das Neue Österreich</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Non-Governmental Organization</td>
<td>NGO</td>
<td>Nichtregierungsorganisation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Order of removal</td>
<td></td>
<td>Anordnung zur Außerlandesbringung</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Organization for Economic Co-operation and Development</td>
<td>OECD</td>
<td>Organisation für wirtschaftliche Zusammenarbeit und Entwicklung</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Organization for Security and Co-operation in Europe</td>
<td>OSCE</td>
<td>Organisation für Sicherheit und Zusammenarbeit in Europa</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Province</td>
<td></td>
<td>Bundesland</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Red-White-Red Card</td>
<td></td>
<td>Rot-Weiss-Rot – Karte</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Regulation Implementing the Act</td>
<td></td>
<td>BFA-G – Durchführungsverordnung</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>English</td>
<td>German</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------------------</td>
<td>---------</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Establishing the Federal Office for Immigration and Asylum</td>
<td>– Abschiebung</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Residence titles for exceptional circumstances</td>
<td>– Aufenthaltstitel aus berücksichtigungswürdigen Gründen</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Return decision</td>
<td>– Rückkehrentscheidung</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Settlement and Residence Act</td>
<td>– Niederlassungs- und Aufenthaltsgesetz</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Social Democratic Party of Austria</td>
<td>SPÖ Sozialdemokratische Partei Österreichs</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Statistics Austria</td>
<td>– Statistik Austria</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Team Stronach for Austria</td>
<td>– Team Stronach für Österreich</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tolerated stay</td>
<td>– Duldung</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unaccompanied Minor</td>
<td>UAM Unbegleitete/r Minderjährige/r</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>United Nations High Commissioner for Refugees</td>
<td>UNHCR Hoher Flüchtlingskommissar der Vereinten Nationen</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vienna Institute for International Economic Studies</td>
<td>– Wiener Instituts für Internationale Wirtschaftsvergleiche</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ZARA – Civil Courage and Anti-Racism Work</td>
<td>ZARA ZARA – Zivilcourage und Anti-Rassismus-Arbeit</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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